



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/512,592

02/23/2000

Bruce M. Dickens

2039-301

3314

7590

11/26/2007

EXAMINER

BRUCE DICKENS
DICKENS-SOEDER 2000 LLC
3892 CEDRON STREET
IRVINE, CA 92606

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 09/512,592	Applicant(s) DICKENS, BRUCE M.	
	Examiner Etienne P. LeRoux	Art Unit 2161	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 01 November 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.


To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Order Remanding Appeal to Examiner from Craig Feinberg, Deputy Chief Trial Administrator, dated 4/27/2007.

Etienne P LeRoux
Tel: (571) 272-4022


ETIENNE LEROUX
PRIMARY EXAMINER

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte BRUCE M. DICKENS


Appeal No. 2007-0952

Application No. 09/512,592

Reexamination Control Nos. 90/005,592, 90/005,628,
90/005,727, and 90/006,541

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 1, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are the Appeal Brief and the Examiner's Answer as identified further below.



Appeal Brief

A review of the file indicates that the appeal brief filed December 12, 2005, has used the format set forth in 37 CFR § 1.192(c). However, 37 CFR § 1.192 was abolished on September 13, 2004, and replaced by 37 CFR § 41.37(c). Accordingly, the appeal brief filed on December 12, 2005, does not comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

(v) *Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

(vi) *Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.* A concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review.

(ix) *Evidence appendix.* An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title or

of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) *Related proceedings appendix*. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

A review of the application indicates that the following appropriate headings are missing from the Appeal Brief filed December 12, 2005:

- 1) "Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter" is set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v);
- 2) "Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(vi);
- 3) "Evidence Appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and
- 4) "Related Proceedings Appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

Furthermore, separate headings for "Issues," "Summary of the Invention," and "Grouping of Claims" have been discontinued. Comments regarding whether claims are to be argued separately or as a group need to be contained under the heading for "Argument."

Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on December 12, 2005, does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c). It is required that a corrected appeal brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more


information on the new rules see the web page entitled More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI, Final Rule,
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) instruct Appellants to provide a corrected appeal brief which fully complies with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c);
- 2) have said corrected appeal brief made a part of the IFW Official record; and
- 3) either acknowledge entry and consideration of the corrected appeal brief or submit an amended examiner's answer if needed; and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES



CRAIG FEINBERG
DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL ADMINISTRATOR
(571) 272-9797

cc (via First Class Mail):

Bruce Dickens
Dickens-Soeder 2000 LLC
3892 Cedron Street
Irvine, CA 92606

Paul E. Crawford
Connolly Bove Loge and Hutz LLP
1200 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Stanley B. Green
Connolly Bove Loge and Hutz LLP
1990 M. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20012

Ross F. Hunt, Jr.
Larson and Taylor
1199 North Fairfax Street
Suite 900
Alexandria, VA 22314

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte BRUCE M. DICKENS

Appeal No. 2007-0952

Application No. 09/512,592

Reexamination Control Nos. 90/005,592, 90/005,628,
90/005,727, and 90/006,541



ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 1, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are the Appeal Brief and the Examiner's Answer as identified further below.

Appeal Brief

A review of the file indicates that the appeal brief filed December 12, 2005, has used the format set forth in 37 CFR § 1.192(c). However, 37 CFR § 1.192 was abolished on September 13, 2004, and replaced by 37 CFR § 41.37(c). Accordingly, the appeal brief filed on December 12, 2005, does not comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

(v) *Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

(vi) *Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.* A concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review.

(ix) *Evidence appendix.* An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title or

of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) *Related proceedings appendix*. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

A review of the application indicates that the following appropriate headings are missing from the Appeal Brief filed December 12, 2005:

- 1) "Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter" is set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v);
- 2) "Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(vi);
- 3) "Evidence Appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and
- 4) "Related Proceedings Appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

Furthermore, separate headings for "Issues," "Summary of the Invention," and "Grouping of Claims" have been discontinued. Comments regarding whether claims are to be argued separately or as a group need to be contained under the heading for "Argument."

Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on December 12, 2005, does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c). It is required that a corrected appeal brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more

information on the new rules see the web page entitled More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI, Final Rule,


<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) instruct Appellants to provide a corrected appeal brief which fully complies with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c);
- 2) have said corrected appeal brief made a part of the IFW Official record; and
- 3) either acknowledge entry and consideration of the corrected appeal brief or submit an amended examiner's answer if needed; and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES



CRAIG FEINBERG
DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL ADMINISTRATOR
(571) 272-9797

cc (via First Class Mail):

Bruce Dickens
Dickens-Soeder 2000 LLC
3892 Cedron Street
Irvine, CA 92606

Paul E. Crawford
Connolly Bove Loge and Hutz LLP
1200 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Stanley B. Green
Connolly Bove Loge and Hutz LLP
1990 M. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20012

Ross F. Hunt, Jr.
Larson and Taylor
1199 North Fairfax Street
Suite 900
Alexandria, VA 22314